## Case3:14-cv-02010-VC Document1 Filed05/01/14 Page1 of 9

1 2 3 4 5 6	John V. Picone III, Bar No. 187226 jpicone@hopkinscarley.com Jennifer S. Coleman, Bar No. 213210 jcoleman@hopkinscarley.com Aleksandr Korzh, Bar No. 286613 akorzh@hopkinscarley.com HOPKINS & CARLEY A Law Corporation The Letitia Building 70 South First Street San Jose, CA 95113-2406		
7 8 9	mailing address: P.O. Box 1469 San Jose, CA 95109-1469 Telephone: (408) 286-9800 Facsimile: (408) 998-4790		
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff PHOTOGRAPHIC ILLUSTRATORS CORPORATION		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
15	PHOTOGRAPHIC ILLUSTRATORS CORPORATION,	CASE NO. 5:14-cv-2010	
16	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:	
17	,	(1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT;	
18	v.  SPOT LIGHTING SUPPLIES, INC. dba Lighting-spot.com,	(2) INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION; AND	
19		(3) FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN	
20 21	Defendant.		
21			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
LEY w	614\1111913.2		
	COMPLAINT	5.14 CV 2010	

HOPKINS & CARI ATTORNEYS AT LAV SAN JOSE

COMPLAINT

1	Plaintiff Photographic Illustrators Corporation ("PIC") brings this civil action against
2	Defendant Spot Lighting Supplies, Inc. ("Lighting Spot" or "Defendant").
3	THE PARTIES
4	1. PIC is a Massachusetts corporation with a place of business at 467 Sagamore
5	Street, Hamilton, Massachusetts 01936.
6	2. Upon information and belief, Spot Lighting Supplies, Inc. is a California
7	corporation with its principal place of business at 1200 Oregon Avenue, Long Beach, California
8	90813.
9	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
10	3. This is a civil action for injunctive relief and damages for violation of the
11	copyright laws of the United States, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq.; the Digital Millennium Copyright
12	Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201, et seq, and the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
13	4. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§
14	1331 and 1338.
15	5. The court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant. Upon information and
16	belief, Defendant does business within the State of California and within this judicial district.
17	6. Defendant operates a commercial website (www.lighting-spot.com) ("Defendant's
18	Website"). Upon information and belief, Defendant regularly advertises, sells and offers for sale
19	lighting products to online visitors to Defendant's Website, including online visitors located in
20	California, and Defendant has injured PIC in California by reproducing and distributing PIC's
21	copyrighted images on and/or through Defendant's Website.
22	7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391.
23	PIC'S COPYRIGHTED IMAGES
24	8. PIC specializes in photography, including photography of consumer products for
25	catalogs, advertising, and product packaging.
26	9. Over a span of years dating back to the 1960s, various companies, including
27	Osram Sylvania, Inc. ("Sylvania"), have retained PIC to photograph certain of their products.
28	

HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE

- 2 -

614\1111913.2 COMPLAINT

1	10. PIC authored the 35 photographic images of Sylvania products referenced in this	
2	Complaint (the "PIC Images"). True and correct copies of the PIC Images are attached hereto as	
3	Exhibits 2-36.	
4	11. PIC retains ownership of all right, title and interest in the copyrights for the PIC	
5	Images.	
6	12. One of the PIC Images (Exhibit 2) was made the subject of an application which	
7	matured into United States Copyright Registration Certificate No. VA 1-359-243 (effective May	
8	30, 2006) (attached as Exhibit 1).	
9	13. 34 of the PIC Images were made the subject of applications for copyright	
10	registration: 20 of the PIC Images were the subject of applications received by the United States	
11	Copyright Office on December 21, 2012 (Exhibits 3-22), 8 of the PIC Images were the subject of	
12	applications received by the United States Copyright Office on June 20, 2013 (Exhibits 23-30), 1	
13	of the PIC Images was the subject of an application received by the United States Copyright	
14	Office on January 15, 2014 (Exhibit 31), and the remaining 5 PIC Images were the subject of an	
15	application received by the United States Copyright Office on March 14, 2014 (Exhibit 32-36).	
16	These applications for registration were complete and submitted in proper form with the	
17	appropriate filing fees.	
18	14. Before publishing the PIC Images, PIC attaches the name of the photographer	
19	(Paul Kevin Picone), the name the copyright owner (P.I. Corp.) and copyright notice	
20	(collectively, "PIC Copyright Management Information"), as shown in Exhibits 2-36.	
21	PIC'S PROTECTABLE MARKS	
22	15. PIC's photography services and images enjoy an excellent reputation and have	
23	gained great value and recognition in the marketplace.	
24	16. For three decades PIC has done business under the PIC name and has used the	
25	name of PIC's President, Paul Kevin Picone, in connection with PIC's services. Through PIC's	
26	longstanding practice of attaching to each published PIC Image the names "P.I.Corp." and "Paul	
27	Kevin Picone," consumers have come to associate these names with high-quality photography.	
28		

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	PIC thus has common law trademark rights in the P.I.CORP. and PAUL KEVIN PICONE marks		
2	("PIC Marks").		
3	17. PIC relies on the PIC Marks and Copyright Management Information that it		
4	attaches to each of its images as its primary mode of advertising. Potential customers seek out and		
5	contact PIC using this information.		
6	DEFENDANT'S UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATION AND USE OF PIC IMAGES		
7	18. As depicted in each of the sub-exhibits to Exhibits 2-36 (e.g. Exhibit 2-A), each of		
8	the images displayed on Defendant's Website ("Accused Images"), is a copy, in whole or in part,		
9	of one of the PIC Images.		
10	19. On information and belief, Defendant created many of the Accused Images		
11	through the use of image editing software on the PIC Images, i.e., by "photoshopping" the PIC		
12	Images. Vestiges of unique elements in the PIC Images are visible in multiple Accused Images,		
13	despite Defendant's unsuccessful attempts to remove them.		
14	20. Defendant also removed PIC Copyright Management Information from all the PIC		
15	Images. In some instances, Defendant added to the Accused Images, its own (albeit insufficient)		
16	copyright management information and/or watermark, "Lighting-spot."		
17	21. Defendant has copied, distributed and/or used—and continue to copy, distribute,		
18	and/or use—the PIC Images, at least on and/or through Defendant's Website, to advertise and sell		
19	products.		
20	22. PIC never authorized Defendant's use of the PIC Images.		
21	23. Upon information and belief, Sylvania never authorized Defendant's use of the		
22	PIC Images.		
23	24. Upon information and belief, Sylvania never authorized Defendant to use PIC		
24	Images with a superimposed false copyright notice.		
25	25. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement of the copyright in each		
26	PIC Image began after PIC's first publication of that PIC Image.		
27			
28			

HOPKINS & CARLEY ATTORNEYS AT LAW San Jose

614\1111913.2

- 4 -COMPLAINT 5:14-CV-2010

1	COUNT I		
2	(Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. § 501)		
3	26. PIC repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the paragraphs above as though full		
4	set forth herein.		
5	27. Defendant has infringed PIC's copyrights in its PIC Images, in violation of 17		
6	U.S.C. § 501, by copying, publishing, distributing, and/or using PIC Images, or portions or		
7	derivative works thereof, and continue to do so without license or other authorization.		
8	28. On information and belief, Defendant's infringement of PIC's copyrights in at		
9	least one of the PIC Images commenced after the effective date of its registration.		
10	29. Defendant's direct and indirect infringement has been knowing and willful.		
11	30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's direct and indirect willful		
12	copyright infringement, PIC has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss to its		
13	business, reputation, and goodwill. PIC is entitled to recover from Defendant, in amounts to be		
14	determined at trial, the damages it has sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits, and		
15	advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of Defendant's acts of infringement and		
16	Defendant's use and public of PIC Images.		
17	31. Unless stopped by an injunction, Defendant will continue infringing PIC's		
18	copyrights in its PIC Images and will cause PIC to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no		
19	adequate remedy at law. Therefore, PIC is entitled to injunctive relief.		
20	COUNT II		
21	(Integrity of Copyright Management Information, 17 U.S.C. § 1202)		
22	32. PIC repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the paragraphs above as though full		
23	set forth herein.		
24	33. Defendant has knowingly and with intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal		
25	infringement of the PIC Images, provided and/or distributed false copyright management		
26	information in relation to its use of the PIC Images, in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202(a).		
27	34. Defendant has intentionally removed or altered PIC's Copyright Management		
28	Information for the PIC Images without PIC's authorization, and/or distributed copyright		
LEY	614\1111913.2 - 5 -		

HOPKINS & CARLEY ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN JOSE

COMPLAINT 5:14-CV-2010

- 6 -

1	1 43. Defendant's unauth	norized alteration and use of the PIC Images, PIC Marks and	
2	2 Copyright Management Informati	on occurs in commercial advertising or promotion, and	
3	3 misrepresents the nature, characte	ristics, qualities, or geographic origin of Defendant's goods,	
4	4 services, or commercial activities.		
5	5 44. Defendant's misus	e of PIC Marks, Copyright Management Information and	
6	6 Images, and Defendant's acts or o	Images, and Defendant's acts or omissions as described above constitute a violation of the	
7	7 Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)		
8	8 45. Defendant's violati	ons of the Lanham Act were knowing and willful.	
9	9 46. Defendant's violati	ons of the Lanham Act have damaged PIC in an amount to be	
10	determined at trial.		
11	11 47. Unless stopped by	an injunction, Defendant's violations of the Lanham Act will	
12	continue and will cause PIC to suf	fer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at	
13	13 law.		
14	14	RELIEF REQUESTED	
15	WHEREFORE, PIC reque	sts that this Court:	
16	A. Enter judgment that	t Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe PIC's	
17	copyrights in and to the PIC Imag	es, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 501;	
18	B. Permanently enjoin	Defendant, including its partners, officers, agents, servants,	
19	employees, attorneys, and all thos	e persons and entities in active concert or participation with	
20	them, from further infringement o	them, from further infringement of the copyrights in and to the PIC Images, pursuant to 17 U.S.C	
21	21 § 502;		
22	C. Order the recall, in	apounding, and destruction of all infringing copies made, used	
23	or distributed by Defendant in vio	lation of PIC's exclusive rights in and to its PIC Images (and, in	
24	the case of electronic copies, order that all such copies be deleted from the computers or other		
25	storage means on which they resid	storage means on which they reside), pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 503;	
26	D. Direct Defendant to	p pay to PIC its actual damages and any additional profits	
27	realized by Defendant, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504;		

HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE

- 7 -

614\1111913.2 COMPLAINT

1	E.	Order that, in the alternative to actual copyright damages, at PIC's election,
2	Defendant shall pay PIC statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504;	
3	F. Enter judgment that Defendant has violated and continues to violate the Digital	
4	Millennium Copyright Act in relation to the PIC Images, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1202;	
5	G.	Permanently enjoin Defendant, including its partners, officers, agents, servants,
6	employees, attorneys, and all those persons and entities in active concert or participation with	
7	them, from further violations of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act in relation to the PIC	
8	Images, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b)(1);	
9	H.	Order the impounding of all devices or products in the custody or control of
10	Defendant th	nat were involved in the violations of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act in
11	relation to the PIC Images, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b)(2);	
12	I.	Direct Defendant to pay to PIC its actual damages and any additional profits
13	realized by Defendant, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 1203(b)(3), 1203(c)(1)(A), and 1203(c)(2);	
14	J.	Order that, in the alternative to actual damages, at PIC's election, Defendant shall
15	pay PIC statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 1203(b)(3), 1203(c)(1)(B), and	
16	1203(c)(3)(B);	
17	K.	Enter judgment that Defendant's copyright infringements, have been knowing and
18	willful;	
19	L.	Enter judgment that Defendant's 17 U.S.C. § 1203(a) violations of the Digital
20	Millennium	Copyright Act have been knowing and with intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or
21	conceal infringement;	
22	M.	Enter judgment that Defendant's 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b) violations of the Digital
23	Millennium	Copyright Act have been knowing and intentional;
24	N.	Enter a Judgment that PIC has common law trademark rights in the PIC marks,
25	and that Defendant has violated and continued to violate 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) by its alteration an	
26	use of the PIC Images;	
27	O.	Permanently enjoin Defendant, including its partners, officers, agents, servants,
28	employees, attorneys, and all those persons and entities in active concert or participation with	
RLEY	614\1111913.2	- 8 -

HOPKINS & CARLE Attorneys At Law San Jose

COMPLAINT

5:14-CV-2010

## Case3:14-cv-02010-VC Document1 Filed05/01/14 Page9 of 9

1	them, from f	urther violations of the Lanh	am Act in relation to the PIC Images, pursuant to 15
2	U.S.C. § 111	16;	
3	P.	Direct Defendant to pay to	PIC any damages attributable to Defendant's Lanham
4	Act violation	as and to account for all gains	s, profits, and advantages derived through those actions,
5	but not less t	than a reasonable royalty, and	d pay such damages authorized by law, including 15
6	U.S.C. § 111	17;	
7	Q.	Order Defendant to file an	nd serve a report in writing, and under oath, setting forth
8	the manner and form in which it has complied with the Court's order and injunction;		plied with the Court's order and injunction;
9	R.	Award PIC its attorney fee	es and costs in prosecuting this action, pursuant to 17
10	U.S.C. §§ 50	05, 1203(b)(5) or other applic	cable law; and
11	S.	Award PIC such further re	elief as this Court may deem just and proper.
12	JURY DEMAND		
13	PIC requests a Jury Trial on all issues so triable.		
14	Dated: May	1, 2014	HOPKINS & CARLEY
15			A Law Corporation
16			
17			By:/s/ John V. Picone III  John V. Picone III
18			Attorneys for Plaintiff PHOTOGRAPHIC ILLUSTRATORS
19			CORPORATION
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
RLEY	614\1111913.2		- 9 -
	COMPLAINT		5:14-CV-2010

HOPKINS & CAR ATTORNEYS AT LA SAN JOSE